

**REMARKS**


The Office Action rejected all pending claims on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. 6,383,430.

Applicant submits that the double-patenting rejections are improper because the '430 patent never discloses or suggests *biaxially* stretching the film and partially relaxing the film in the longitudinal stretching direction *substantially uniformly across the cross-section* of the film by between 5% and 20% of the total stretched length.

While Applicant thus submits that double-patenting does not exist, Applicant has submitted herewith a Terminal Disclaimer for obviating the rejections.

In view of the submission of the Terminal Disclaimer, it is submitted that all grounds of rejection have now been overcome, and the application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald M. Hill, Jr.  
Registration No. 40,646

Date: Jan. 10, 2007

**Customer No. 00826**  
**ALSTON & BIRD LLP**  
Bank of America Plaza  
101 South Tryon Street, Suite 4000  
Charlotte, NC 28280-4000  
Tel Charlotte Office (704) 444-1000  
Fax Charlotte Office (704) 444-1111